

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 01/05/2004 10/750,870 Takeshi Satoh 57454-994 3233 **EXAMINER** 7590 02/03/2006 McDermott, Will & Emery JOHNSON, EDWARD M 600 13th Street, N.W ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Washington, DC 20005-3096 1754

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/750,870	SATOH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Edward M. Johnson	1754	

	Edward M. Johnson	1/54	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress –
THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further could be a they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	ine issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) ellowed:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5-8</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13.		lean h	
		Edward M. Johnsor Primary Examiner	1

Art Unit: 1754

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/750,870

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment would narrow a claimed range of nitrogen pressure, which would be a new issue requiring further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is argued throughout that the claimed, as amended, would be allowable over the cited prior art. This is not persuasive because the amendment has not yet been entered. It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the features of the proposed amendment) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).